1 A morphological review of the enigmatic elongated tail feathers of stem birds

2 Christian Foth

3 Department of Geosciences, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 6, CH-1700 Fribourg,
4 Switzerland

5

6 Abstract

Several stem birds, such as Confuciusornithidae and Enantiornithes, were characterized by the 7 8 possession of one or two pairs of conspicuous, elongated tail feathers with a unique 9 morphology, so called rhachis dominated racket plumes. In the past, several studies reported contradictory interpretations regarding the morphology of these feathers, which sometimes 10 failed to match with any morphology known from modern feathers. In this chapter, these 11 interpretations are reviewed and compared with various modern feather types. The 12 13 comparison confirms recent interpretations that the rhachis dominated racket plumes are highly modified pennaceous feathers with ornamental function, originating at least two times 14 independently from each other during evolution. While the gross organization (i.e., a short 15 16 distal vanes and a long, naked rhachis) of these feathers resembles that of filoplumes, they resemble pennaceous body feathers of penguins in terms of rhachis morphology and 17 pigmentation pattern. As the rhachis dominated racket plumes combine different 18 morphologies that are apparent among modern feather types, this extinct morphotype does in 19 fact not show any aberrant morphological novelties, but rather fall into the morphological and 20 developmental spectrum of modern feathers. 21

22 Introduction

23 The tail plumage of Mesozoic Pennaraptora is characterized by a huge shape diversity (Foth et al. 2014, Wang et al. 2014), which is influenced by the length and morphology of the 24 25 caudal series (e.g. Felice 2014; Rashid et al. 2014), the distribution of contour feathers along the tail (e.g. O'Connor et al. 2013, Foth et al. 2014, Wang et al. 2014), and the morphology of 26 27 the tail feathers (= rectrices) (e.g. O'Connor et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014). The tail plumage 28 of Confuciusornithidae and many species of Enantiornithes is of special interest, as it frequently contains one or two pairs of conspicuously elongated, distally vaned tail feathers, 29 herein called rhachis dominated racket plumes (Fig. X-1A, C), which are attached to the distal 30 end of the pygostyle (e.g. Chiappe et al. 1999; Zheng et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2008; 31 O'Connor et al. 2012; Carvalho et al. 2015a). Similar tail feathers were also described for the 32 33 enigmatic scansoriopterygid *Epidexipteryx hui* (Zhang et al. 2008) and an early juvenile individual of the oviraptorosaur Similicaudipteryx vixianensis (Xu et al. 2010a), but their 34 likeness to rhachis dominated racket plumes is not fully accepted. Apart from the unclear 35 36 phylogenetic position of Scansorioptervgidae within Maniraptora (see Xu et al. 2010; Agnolín and Novas 2013; O'Connor and Sullivan 2014; Xu et al. 2015), the distal portions of the tail 37 feathers of *Epidexipteryx* are not preserved. Thus, it is not clear at the moment if they 38 represent rhachis dominated racket plumes or an own distinct feather type. The presence of 39 40 rhachis dominated racket plumes in *Similicaudipteryx* as was questioned by various authors 41 (Prum 2010; Foth 2012; O'Connor et al. 2012) and the structure can alternatively interpreted as pin feathers, i.e., developing pennaceous feathers, which are still covered by the feather 42 sheath. Thus, both species will not be included into the actual comparison. 43

44	In analogy to the elongated rectrices of modern birds (Andersson 1982; Bleiweiss
45	1987, Peters and Peters 2009), the elongated tail feathers of Confuciusornithidae and
46	Enantiornithes probably had an ornamental function (Peters and Peters 2009; O'Connor et al.
47	2012), which in some cases may have been related to sexual dimorphism (Zheng et al. 2017).
48	The actual morphology of rhachis dominated racket plumes , however, seems to be quite
49	different from those of modern examples. As a result, there is no true consensus regarding
50	their morphology, and various interpretations have been published in the past (e.g. Xu and
51	Guo 2009; Prum 2010; Foth 2012; O'Connor et al. 2012; Carvalho et al. 2015b), which often
52	relied on differences in the quality of preservation. In the current chapter, these different
53	morphological interpretations are reviewed and compared to each other. After extracting the
54	main organization, the single individual morphological components of these enigmatic tail
55	feathers are compared to different modern feather types. By extending this comparison
56	beyond the morphological spectrum of tail feathers, it is possible to track down analog
57	structures, helping to understand the actual morphology of this extinct feather type.

Institutional Abbreviations

GSGM Gansu Geological Museum, Lanzhou, China; IVPP Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing, China; NHMF Natural History Museum Fribourg, Switzerland; STM Shandong Tianyu Museum of Natural History, Linyi, China

Previous morphological interpretations

65	In the past, the enigmatic, elongated rectrices of Confuciusornithidae and Enantiornithes were
66	addressed with varying terms, including elongate ribbon-like tail feathers (ETFs) (Zhang et al.
67	2008), proximally ribbon-like pennaceous feathers (PRPFs) (Xu et al. 2010a), rhachis-
68	dominated tail feathers (O'Connor et al. 2012) or rhachis dominated racket plumes (Wang et
69	al. 2014). Despite these different terms, the rectrices of the taxa in question possess a
70	characteristic morphology, consisting of a broad, elongated central element with a dark,
71	median stripe. The proximal portion of the central element is naked, exhibits dark lateral
72	margins, and shows no sign of branching, while the distal quarter is vaned, being pennaceous
73	(Fig. X-1C-E). As is typical for fossilized plumages from the Jehol beds, the elongated tail
74	feathers are usually preserved as carbonized traces, which has been shown to result from the
75	preservation of melanosomes, showing the original pigmentation of the fossilized feathers, in
76	several taxa (e.g. Vinther et al. 2008; Li et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2010).
77	Originally, this feather type was described as scale-like (Zhang and Zhou 2000), which
78	was classified as an ancestral unbranched feather type. However, this interpretation was based
79	on an incomplete specimen, where the distal portions of the feathers were not preserved
80	(O'Connor et al. 2012). On the basis of complete feathers, three different morphological
81	interpretations were published so far:
82	1) Originally, the dark, median stripe of the central element was interpreted as a thin
83	rhachis with two undivided, sheet-like vanes or laminae emerging on either side. In the
84	distal portion, the pennaceous barbs were though to extend outwards from the sheet-
85	like vane and to not be directly connected with the rhachis (Zhang et al. 2006; 2008;
86	Xu and Guo 2009; Xu et al. 2010a; Fig. X-2A).

87 2) Later, Xu et al. (2010b) and O'Connor et al. (2012) argued that the whole central element represents an extremely long, broad rhachis, which ends in a distal, 88 89 pennaceous portion (see also Prum 2010). The calamus, which is not preserved due to the probable lack of melanosomes in this region (see Benton et al. 2008; Vinther et al. 90 91 2008), is restricted to the most proximal portion of the feather, while the dark stripe in 92 the middle of the rhachis was interpreted to be a preservational artifact resulting from 93 a ventral furrow (Fig. X-2B). Additionally, O'Connor et al. (2012) interpreted the dark lateral margins of the proximal ribbon-like portion of the central elements (Fig. X-1C) 94 to be possible remains of narrow, undifferentiated vanes (see above). 95

3) Based on the branching pattern of the rectrices, Foth (2012) argued that the rhachis is
only a broad and short element, restricted to the pennaceous portion, while the
proximal ribbon-like structure in fact represents a prolonged, broadened calamus (Fig.
X-2C). The dark median line of the central element was interpreted as a pigmentation
of the internal pith inside the rhachis and calamus or as remains of a ventral furrow
(see O'Connor et al. 2012).

All three interpretations are problematic for various reasons: The presences of sheet-like vanes, running along the whole central element, as proposed by Zhang et al. (2006, 2008), Xu and Guo (2009), Xu et al. (2010a), or being restricted to the lateral margin of the proximal ribbon-like portion (see O'Connor et al. 2012), is incorrect from a semantic point of view, as feather vanes consist of a series of parallel arranged barbs (Lucas and Stettenheim 1972), and thus, by definition, cannot be undifferentiated. Along those lines, the Enantiornithes *Cratoavis cearensis* from the Early Cretaceous Crato Formation of Brazil (see below), whose elongated

rectrices are preserved as impression and not carbonized traces (Carvalho et al. 2015a, 2015b)
indicates that, at least in this bird, the whole central element is strap-like (see Prum 2010; Xu
et al. 2010b; Foth 2012; O'Connor et al. 2012), showing no morphological signs of
undifferentiated vanes in the proximal portion.

Based on the hierarchical organization of feathers in terms of morphology and 113 development (Lucas and Stettenheim 1972; Prum and Dyck 2003), the whole central structure 114 in the pennaceous portion has to be classified as the rhachis (see Prum 2010; Foth 2012, 115 O'Connor et al. 2012). However, contra to Foth (2012), the central element of the rectrices 116 shows no sign of interruption in the form of a superior umbicillus between the distal 117 pennaceous and proximal ribbon-like portion, which would mark the transition from rhachis 118 to calamus. In fact, the median stripe runs without interruption along the entire central 119 120 element and the dark lateral margins are continuous with the barbs of the pennaceous portion (O'Connor et al. 2012). Thus, the whole central element can, in fact, be interpreted as one 121 122 single strap-like structure, i.e. an elongated, dorsoventrally flattened rhachis, as previously 123 interpreted by Prum (2010), Xu et al. (2010b) and O'Connor et al. (2012).

The median stripe itself is usually preserved as a narrow carbonized trace, but not as an impression (O'Connor et al. 2012). According to the interpretation of Xu et al. (2010) and O'Connor et al. (2012), the stripe would be exposed ventrally. As demonstrated by several studies, carbonized traces are often the result of melanosome preservation, which show the original pigmentation pattern of the fossilized feather (e.g. Vinther et al. 2008; Li et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2010). Because the integument is usually preserved as a film, this type of preservation provides no direct evidence as to which side of the feather is exposed. By

contrast, as the lateral parts of the strap-like rhachides, which surround the median stripe, are
not pigmented (see O'Connor et al. 2012), the dark median stripe could be a potential 'eyecatcher' for other members of the species. Thus, assuming an ornamental function, it is more
plausible that the median stripe was located dorsally or part of the internal pith (see below),
and is actually not homologous with the ventral furrow of the rhachis.

136 In this context, Carvalho et al. (2015b) described the presence of a thin midline furrow 137 along the broadened rhachis of the racket plumes of *Cratoavis*, which was interpreted as dorsal groove, a structure unknown for recent bird feathers. The authors apparently presumed 138 that the rhachis dominated racket plumes of *Cratoavis* are preserved in dorsal view without 139 giving any explanation other than that the proximal caudal vertebrae and pygostyle are 140 preserved in that view as well. On the basis of the Berlin specimen of *Archaeopteryx* 141 142 *lithographica*, this equation of skeletal and integumental orientation is taphonomically not always valid, as in this particular specimen the skeleton is visible in dorsolateral view 143 (Wellnhofer 2009), while the wings clearly show the ventral aspect (Wellnhofer 2009; 144 145 Longrich et al. 2012). Such preservational artifacts result when the fossil is unevenly split between the two plates. Thus, without providing further evidence that the rectrices of 146 Cratoavis are actually preserved in dorsal view, it is alternatively possible that the 147 longitudinal furrow actually represents the ventral furrow of the rhachis (see Lucas and 148 149 Stettenheim 1979).

150

151 Morphological comparison with modern feather types

Hereinafter, the hierarchical organisation as well as the morphology of single structures common in rhachis dominated racket plumes are compared with similar-looking structures in modern feather types. As rhachis dominated racket plumes are extinct as morphotype, this comparison is restricted to single feather structures, while it is simultaneously extended to feather types from other body regions, which often fulfil a very different biological role. In consequence, functional aspects cannot be transmitted to morphological structure one to one.

158

159 Modern feather types with a distally branching portion

Distally restricted vanes are known in racket plumes (Bleiweiss 1987; Fig. X-3A-D) and 160 filoplumes (Lucas and Stettenheim 1972; Fig, 3E). Modern racket plumes represent a type of 161 162 display feathers, which occurs in the head and tail regions of various recent birds (e.g. Prioniturus discurus, Ocreatus underwoodii, Loddigesia mirabilis, Tanysiptera carolinae, 163 164 *Parotia carolae*). Their distal portion consists of a thin rhachis with distinct pennaceous 165 vanes, which merge proximally into a thin, 'naked' wire section. This wire section, however, 166 is not truly naked, but consists of narrow vanes of densely packed, rudimentary barbs, running along both sides of the rhachis. The most proximal portion of the racket plumes, however, can 167 168 be fully vaned again, showing the typical pennaceous morphology (Bleiweiss 1987). Despite overall similarities, the rhachis dominated racket plumes of the stem birds discussed above 169 170 seem to show no indication for the presence of short barbs in the proximal portion of the rhachis (see *Cratoavis*), at least under normal light (see below; Fig. X-3A-D). This situation is 171 also evident in various rhachis-dominated feathers found in the Upper Cretaceous Burmese 172 173 amber (Xing et al. 2018).

Instead, the feathers often possess a dark lateral stripe on each side of the rhachis (O'Connoret al. 2012, see below).

By contrast, fully-grown filoplumes, which fulfill a biological role as sensory organs, 176 177 possess a small number of distal barbs, which are fused into a thin rhachis. The rhachis itself is elongated, showing a long naked portion, before it anastomoses ventrally into a short 178 calamus (Lucas and Stettenheim 1972; Fig, 3E). Consequently, the gross organization of 179 180 filoplumes (i.e., the portion of vanes and rhachis) resembles to some degree the morphology of the rhachis dominated racket plumes as interpreted by Prum (2010), Xu et al. (2010b) and 181 O'Connor et al. (2012). However, filoplumes are much smaller in size, possess a very short 182 open (not pennaceous) vane, a thin rhachis, and, in contrast to most other feather types 183 (except of bristles and semibristles), are associated with the nervous system located within the 184 185 follicle (Lucas and Stettenheim 1972).

186

187 Modern feather types with broadened rhachis

In most feathers, the rhachis is a four-sided element and not conspicuously broadened and flattened (Lucas and Stettenheim 1972). However, display feathers of several bird species show a distal expansion. In the scale-feathered malkoha (*Phaenicophaeus cumingi*) and curlcrested arasari (*Pteroglossus beauharnaesii*) the distal expansions are caused by the lateral fusion of several barbs (Brush 1965, 1967). In contrast, in the rail species *Rallus aquaticus*, *Rallus elegans*, and *Rallus longirostris* and the cedar waxwing (*Bombycilla cedrorum*) a similar morphology results from the broadening of the terminal barb, which forms the tip of the rhachis (Brush 1967). The display feathers of the African openbill (*Anastomus lamelligerus*) show a mixture of both morphologies, as the most terminal barb is elongated
and broadened, while additional, distally located barbs are fused to the terminal barb
proximally (Vignerona et al. 2006). However, in all of these examples, the lateral expansion
of the rhachis is restricted to the distal tip of the feather. More proximally, the rhachis thins to
the common pennaceous condition.

201 The only example of modern feathers possessing a broadened, flattened rhachis over their entire length is known from penguins (Wohlhauer 1901; Chandler 1916; Rutschke 202 1965). The rhachis of the body feathers, for instance, emerges from a short, cylindrical 203 calamus. Proximally the rhachis is oval in cross-section, but continuously expands laterally, 204 while flattening dorsoventrally, before tapering at the feather tip (Chandler 1916; Rutschke 205 206 1965; Fig. X-4). Feathers from the belly region possess a thin and shallow ventral furrow in the proximal half of the rhachis (Fig. X-4B), while such a structure is absent in the back 207 208 feathers. In contrast, prominent ventral furrows giving the rhachis an open C-shaped cross 209 section are present in the remiges and rectrices of penguins (Rutschke 1965). Thus, although fully vaned and shorter in relative length, the rhachis morphology of penguin body feathers 210 resembles the observations of rhachis dominated racket plumes and the fully pennaceous 211 212 rhachis dominated rectrices of Eopengornis martini and Parapengornis eurycaudatus (see 213 discussion). Recent discoveries of rhachis dominated feathers from Upper Cretaceous 214 Burmese amber seem to contradict this comparison, by showing a central (rhachidal) ridge surrounded by two undifferentiated laminae, which lack an internal pith, but having a 215 ventrally opened C-shaped cross section (Xing et al. 2018). However, as the central element 216

217	of these feathers measures less than 1 mm in a diameter, this particular morphology could
218	result from miniaturization, showing a broadened rhachis without a pith. In fact, many
219	modern feather types with delicate barbs (e.g., small down feathers, many neoptile down
220	feathers) or rhachides (e.g., filoplumes, small bristle feathers) also lack an internal pith (Lucas
221	& Stettenheim 1972; Foth 2011). Thus, due to significant size differences, the rhachis
222	morphology of the Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber does not necessarily correspond to the
223	larger tail streamers found in the birds from the Jehol group. However, as stated above the
224	rhachides of remiges and rectrices in penguins also have a C-shaped in cross-section
225	(Rutschke 1965), resembling to a certain degree the condition found in the Burmese feathers.

226

227

Rhachis pigmentation in modern feathers

228 In analogy to the general preservation of feathers as dark carbonized traces, the dark median stripe found in rhachis dominated racket plumes is most likely based on preservation of 229 230 melanosomes (see Vinther et al. 2008; Li et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2010) and thus indicates a 231 colour pattern along the rhachis. In modern feathers, very complex colour patterns can be present, but are usually exposed on the dorsal surface of the vanes of pennaceous feathers 232 233 (Prum and Williamson 2002). The rhachis itself is often monochromatic, sometimes shaded, but not complexly pigmented. Here, pigments can be concentrated in the pith or in the cortex 234 235 of the rhachis (Rutschke 1965; Brush 1967). For instance, in the scale-feathered malkoha, curl-crested arasari, different rail species and the cedar waxwing (see above), high 236 concentrations of melanin are present in the rhachidal pith (Brush and Allen 1963, Brush 237 1967). This kind of pigmentation results in a plane, dark, monochromatic appearance of the 238

rhachis, which is, however, different from the situation found in the fossil examples. In 239 contrast, the whitish, broadened rhachis of penguin feathers possess a thin, dark median stripe, 240 241 which is usually expressed on the dorsal side of the feather (Wohlhauer 1901; Rutschke 1965; Fig. X-4A). This structure results from the presence of a high concentration of melanin 242 pigments, which are located within a longitudinal, internal ridge that runs along the dorsal 243 side of the cortex, while the rest of the cortex is unpigmented (Rutschke 1965; Fig. X-4A, C-244 245 E). In some penguin feathers, a similar, median stripe is additionally present on the ventral side, which fuses with the dorsal ridge in the distal portion of the rhachis (Rutschke 1965; Fig. 246 X-4B, E). Also slightly different in morphology, the rhachis dominated feathers from the 247 Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber, show a median ridge along the rhachis that is strongly 248 pigmented (Xing et al. 2018). 249

250 In this context, the dark, lateral margins, originally described as undifferentiated vanes (O'Connor et al. 2012), could be the result from similar, highly pigmented, internal cortical 251 ridges, running along the lateral side of the rhachis. Alternatively, the dark, lateral stripes 252 253 could be also a preservational artefact caused by the conservation of highly pigmented, very short, but densely packed barbs, which cannot be detected with the help of normal light 254 microscopy techniques. In this case, the proximal portion of the elongated rectrices would not 255 256 be truly naked, but similar to the wire structures found in racket plumes (Bleiweiss 1987, see 257 above). Here, the usage of Laser-Stimulated Fluorescence (LSF) may be able to help to clarify 258 the morphology of these structures in the future, as this autofluorescence method was successfully employed to visualize remains of tiny barbules in fossil feathers, which were 259 hardly detectable under white and polarized light conditions (Kaye et al. 2015). However, the 260

rhachis dominated feathers from *Cratoavis* and the Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber
(Carvalho et al. 2015a, Xing et al. 2018), indicate that the proximal portion of the rhachis was
actually naked.

264

265 **Discussion**

266 Within Pygostylia, rhachis dominated racket plumes evolved at least two times independently within the stem line of birds, in Confuciusornithidae, and Enantiornithes (Foth et al. 2014, 267 Wang et al. 2014). The presence of a pair of elongated, fully pennaceous, but rhachis 268 dominated, rectrices in the two Enantiornithes *Eopengornis* and *Parapengornis* (Fig. X-1B) 269 270 as well as the discovery of an enantiornithine bird with a rectricial fan have led to the 271 conclusion that rhachis dominated racket plumes were highly modified pennaceous feathers (O'Connor et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2015; O'Connor et al. 2016). This is 272 273 further supported by the occurrence of delicate median stripes in the rhachis of wing feathers 274 (= remiges) of some stem birds like *Confuciusornis* spp. (Confuciusornithidae) and 275 *Eopengornis* (Enantiornithes) (Wang et al. 2014, 2015). With this review, I attempt to clarify a number of problematic aspects regarding the morphology of these feathers that have been 276 277 published in the last years. In particular, the gross organization of these feathers resembles either that of filoplumes, containing a distally branched portion fused into a long, naked 278 rhachis. The comparison with the wire section of modern racket plumes might be inadequate, 279 as it possesses a series of short, densely arranged barbs running along the elongated 'naked' 280 281 portion (Fig. X-3). Nevertheless, the distal portion itself was fully pennaceous as in modern racket plumes. The long, central element probably represents a single, elongated, strap-like 282

283 rhachis, which most likely merge proximally into a short, cylindrically-shaped calamus, thereby resembling the condition of the fully pennaceous rhachis dominated rectrices of 284 285 *Eopengornis martini* and *Parapengornis* and potentially that of modern penguin body feathers (Fig. X-4). However, this particular morphology could be modified to a more laminar shape 286 (Xing et al. 2018) due to miniaturization, resulting in a reduction of the internal rhachidal pith 287 288 (Lucas & Stettenheim 1972). In further analogy to penguin feathers, the dark median stripe 289 running along the broadened rhachis might represent a strongly pigmented internal cortical ridge (Fig. X-4) [The situation for the median stripe in the wing feathers of some stem birds 290 (see above) is not evaluated here due to the unexplored situation in terms of the presence of 291 this particular character in modern bird wing remiges]. If one assumes an ornamental 292 293 function, this pigmented ridge would probably have been located on the dorsal side of the rhachis, although a (additional) ventral expression, as in some penguin feathers, cannot be 294 ruled out. Taking the variety of pigmentation patterns of modern feathers into account and the 295 296 fact that these ornamental feathers originated at least two times independently, the occurrence 297 of the pigmented ridge on the dorsal or ventral side could be variable and differ between taxa. In the strong miniaturized feathers from the Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber, the median 298 ridge is even externally recognizable from both dorsal and ventral side (Xing et al. 2018), 299 which could be caused by the reduction of the internal pith, leading to the extreme lamination 300 of the rest of the rhachis. In analogy to modern pennaceous feathers, the longitudinal groove 301 found in the rectrices in Cratoavis most likely represents the ventral furrow of the rhachis and 302 not a dorsal groove as originally interpreted. The dark lateral margins in the proximal ribbon-303 304 like portion (Fig. X-1C) could result from either pigmented internal lateral cortical ridges or very short, densely packed pigmented barbs running along the rhachis. As the rhachis 305

dominated feathers of *Cratoavis* and the Upper Cretaceous Burmese amber seems to have
smooth lateral margins, the second alternative seems to be less likely, at least for
Enantiornithes. And, once again, given that this feather type evolved two times independently
(see above), it cannot be ruled out that the dark lateral margins evolved differently among
Confuciusornithidae and Enantiornithes. To test this, the morphology of the lateral margins
has to be investigated in more detail in the future using autofluorescence methods (see Kaye
et al. 2015).

Despite these uncertainties, all proposed structures can be verified with an analog 313 example found in modern feather types. This in turn implies that this very specialized fossil 314 feather type falls into the morphological, and therefore developmental (including the genetic 315 control), spectrum of modern feathers. Previously, O'Connor et al. (2012) proposed a 316 317 hypothetical molecular developmental model, where rhachis enlargement is caused by changes in the BMP (Bone morphogenetic protein), Noggin and Shh (Sonic hedgehog) 318 activity (see also Yu et al. 2002). Due to the great similarities with the rhachis morphology of 319 320 penguin feathers, this model can now be tested directly by studying feather morphogenesis in this group of birds. 321

While the broad rhachides of penguin feathers represent one of the many morphological adaptions of the plumage to the semi-aquatic lifestyle (Rutschke 1965), the enigmatic, rhachis dominated racket plumes of Confuciusornithidae, and Enantiornithes had probably an ornamental function (Peters and Peters 2009; O'Connor et al. 2012, Foth et al. 2014) similar to the distally expanded or elongated feather examples mentioned above (see Brush 1965, 1967; Bleiweiss 1987; Vignerona et al. 2006). In extant birds, the expanded

328 portions are usually highlighted by colour patterns created by pigments or nanostructural organization to the cortex and pith. For instance, the internal organization of parallel layers in 329 330 the cortex of the body feathers of the Africa openbill (Vignerona et al. 2006) creates thin-film interferences due to refraction and reflection along the surfaces of each single layer, resulting 331 in a gleaming colour pattern. Thus, the presence of a broadened rhachis in the feathers 332 discussed herein may be a strong indicator for a complex, gleaming colour pattern with 333 delicate dark highlights resulting from the median and lateral stripes. In addition to these 334 ornamental functions, it was also hypothesized that the long rectrices had an aerodynamic 335 function (Zhang et al. 2006). Vane asymmetry in the fully pennaceous rectrices of 336 *Eopengornis*, indicates that aerodynamics was an important biological role in the precursor of 337 rhachis dominated racket plumes (Wang et al. 2014). However, as the short pennaceous tip of 338 the latter possess a symmetric shape and cannot produce much lift, a evolutionar shift towards 339 a stronger ornamental function was hypothesized (Wang et al. 2014). 340

341

342 Conclusions

The enigmatic, elongated tail feathers of Confuciusornithidae and Enantiornithes are here interpreted as highly modified pennaceous feathers that originated independently from each other during evolution. A review of previous morphological interpretations and taphonomic preservation of this feather type and a careful comparison with modern feather morphologies shows that these feathers are very similar to the body feathers of penguins in terms of rhachis morphology and pigmentation pattern, while the gross organization resemble that of filoplumes. Assuming a similar cortical structure to the rhachis of the African openbill, the

350	rectrices of these stem birds can be inferred to probably have been iridescent, supporting a
351	possible ornamental function. As all morphological structures can be verified with an analog
352	example, found in modern feather types, this fossil feather type falls into the morphological
353	spectrum of modern feathers. This in turn indicates that both the morphogenesis (including
354	the genetic control) could be potentially studied with the help of the modern analogues
355	presented herein.

356

357 Acknowledgements

I thank Jingmai O'Connor and Gao Wei (both Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and 358 Paleoanthropology) for photos of the elongated tail feathers of basal birds. I further thank 359 Emanuel Gerber and Hans-Rüdiger Siegel (both Natural History Museum Fribourg, NHMF-360 361 2016) for photos of the elongated tail feathers of recent birds. Norma Schmitz and Ragnar Kinzelbach (both Zoological collection of the University of Rostock) are acknowledged for 362 feather samples of Aptenodytes forsteri, and Walter Joyce (University of Fribourg) for 363 364 proofreading the manuscript. Jingmai O'Connor is further thanked for her critical comments on the previous version of the chapter. The study was supported by the Swiss National 365 366 Science Fond under grant PZ00P2 174040/1.

367

368 References

369	Agnolín, F L, and F E Novas. 2013. Avian ancestors. A review of the phylogenetic
370	relationships of the theropods Unenlagiidae, Microraptoria, Anchiornis and
371	Scansoriopterygidae. Dordrecht: Springer.
372	Andersson, M. 1982. Female choice selects for extreme tail length in a widowbird. <i>Nature</i>
373	299: 818–820.
374	Benton, M J, Z Zhou, P J Orr, F Zhang, and S L Kearns. 2008. The remarkable fossils from
375	the Early Cretaceous Jehol Biota of China and how they have changed our knowledge of
376	Mesozoic life. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 119: 209–228.
377	Bleiweiss, R. 1987. Development and evolution of avian racket plumes: fine structure and
378	serial homology of the wire. <i>Journal of Morphology</i> 194: 23–39.
379	Brush, A H. 1965. The structure and pigmentation of the feather tips of the scaled cuckoo
380	(Lepidogrammus cumigi). The Auk 82: 155–160.
381	Brush, A H. 1967. Additional observations on the structure of unusual feather tips. <i>The</i>
382	Wilson Bulletin 79: 322–327.
383	Carvalho, I S, F E Novas, F L Agnolín, M P Isasi, F I Freitas, and J A Andrade. 2015a. A new
384	genus and species of enantiornithine bird from the Early Cretaceous of Brazil. <i>Brazilian</i>
385	Journal of Geology 45: 161–171.
386	Carvalho, I S, F E Novas, F L Agnolín, M P Isasi, F I Freitas, and J A Andrade. 2015b. A
387	Mesozoic bird from Gondwana preserving feathers. <i>Nature Communications</i> 6: 7141.

388	Chandler, A C. 1916. A Study of the structure of feathers, with reference to their taxonomic
389	significance. University of California Publications in Zoology 13: 243–446.
390	Chiappe, L M, S Ji, Q Ji, and M A Norell. 1999. Anatomy and systematics of the
391	Confuciusornithidae (Theropoda: Aves) from the Late Mesozoic of northeastern China.
392	Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 242: 1–89.19
393	Clarke, J A, Z Zhou, and F Zhang. 2006. Insight into the evolution of avian flight from a new
394	clade of Early Cretaceous ornithurines from China and the morphology of Yixianornis
395	grabaui. Journal of Anatomy 208: 287–308.
396	Felice, R N. 2014. Coevolution of caudal skeleton and tail feathers in birds. Journal of
397	Morphology 275: 1431–1440.
398	Foth, C. 2011. The morphology of neoptile feathers: ancestral state reconstruction and its
399	phylogenetic implications. <i>Journal of Morphology</i> 272: 387–403.
400	Foth, C. 2012. On the identification of feather structures in stem-line representatives of birds:
401	evidence from fossils and actuopalaeontology. <i>Paläontolologische Zeitschrift</i> 86: 91–
402	102.
403	Foth, C, H Tischlinger, and O W M Rauhut. 2014. New specimen of <i>Archaeopteryx</i> provides
404	insights into the evolution of pennaceous feathers. <i>Nature</i> 511: 79–82.

405	Hu, H, J K O'Connor, and Z Zhou. 2015. A new species of Pengornithidae (Aves:
406	Enantiornithes) from the Lower Cretaceous of China suggests a specialized scansorial
407	habitat previously unknown in early birds. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 10: e0126791.
408	Kaye, T G, A R Falk, M Pittman, P C Sereno, L D Martin, D A Burnham, E Gong, X Xu, and
409	Y Wang. 2015. Laser-stimulated fluorescence in paleontology. <i>PLoS ONE</i> 10: e0125923.
410	Li, Q, K Gao, Q Meng, J A Clarke, M D Shawkey, L D'Alba, R Pei, M Ellison, M A Norell,
411	and J Vinther. 2012. Reconstruction of <i>Microraptor</i> and the evolution of iridescent
412	plumage. <i>Science</i> 335: 1215–1219.
413	Li, Q, K Gao, J Vinther, M D Shawkey, J A Clarke, L D'Alba, Q Meng, D E G Briggs, and R
414	O Prum. 2010. Plumage color patterns of an extinct dinosaur. <i>Science</i> 327: 1369–1372.
415	Longrich, N R, J Vinther, Q Meng, Q Li, and A P Russell. 2012. Primitive wing feather
416	arrangement in Archaeopteryx lithographica and Anchiornis huxleyi. Current Biology
417	22: 1–6.
418	Lucas, A M, and P R Stettenheim. 1972. Avian anatomy. Integument, Part I and II.
419	Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office.
420	O'Connor, J K, and C Sullivan. 2014. Reinterpretation of the Early Cretaceous maniraptoran
421	(Dinosauria: Theropoda) Zhongornis haoae as a scansoriopterygid-like non-avian, and
422	morphological resemblances between scansoriopterygids and basal oviraptorosaurs.
423	Vertebrata PalAsiatica 52: 3–30.

424	O'Connor, J K, L M Chiappe, C Chuong, D J Bottjer, and H You. 2012. Homology and
425	potential cellular and molecular mechanisms for the development of unique feather
426	morphologies in early birds. <i>Geosciences</i> 2: 157–177.
427	O'Connor, J K, X Wang, C Sullivan, X Zheng, P Tubaro, X Zhang, and Z Zhou. 2013.
428	Unique caudal plumage of <i>Jeholornis</i> and complex tail evolution in early birds.
429	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110: 17404–17408.
430	O'Connor, J K, X Wang, X Zheng, H Hu, X Zhang, and Z Zhou. 2016. An enantiornithine
431	with a fan-shaped tail, and the evolution of the rectricial complex in early birds. <i>Current</i>
432	<i>Biology</i> 26: 114–119.
433	Peters, W S, and D S Peters. 2009. Life history, sexual dimorphism and "ornamental" feathers
434	in the mesozoic bird <i>Confuciusornis sanctus</i> . <i>Biology Letters</i> 5: 817–820.
435	Prum, R O. 2010. Moulting tail feathers in a juvenile oviraptorosaur. <i>Nature</i> 468: E1.
436	Prum, R O, and J Dyck. 2003. A hierarchical model of plumage: morphology, development,
437	and evolution. <i>Journal of Experimental Zoology (MOL DEV EVOL)</i> 298B: 73–90.
438	Prum, R O, and S Williamson. 2002. Reaction-diffusion models of within-feather
439	pigmentation patterning. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 269: 781–792.
440	Rashid, D J, S C Chapman, H C E Larsson, C L Organ, A-G Bebin, C S Merzdorf, R Bradley,
441	and J R Horner. 2014. From dinosaurs to birds: a tail of evolution. <i>EvoDevo</i> 5: 1–20.

442 Rutschke, E. 1965. Beiträge zur Morphologie der Pinguinfeder. *Zeitschrift für Morphologie*443 *und Ökologie der Tiere* 55: 835–858.

Vigneron, J P, V Lousse, J-F Colomer, M Rassart, and M Louette. 2006. Complex optical
structure in the ribbon-like feathers of the African Open-bill Stork. *Proceedings of SPIE*6320: 632014.

- Vinther, J, D E G Briggs, J A Clarke, G Mayr, and R O Prum. 2010. Structural coloration in a
 fossil feather. *Biology Letters* 6: 128–131.
- Vinther, J, D E G Briggs, R O Prum, and V Saranathan. 2008. The colour of fossil feathers. *Biology Letters* 4: 522–525.
- Wang, M, X Zheng, J K O'Connor, G T Lloyd, X Wang, Y Wang, X Zhang, and Z Zhou.
 2015. The oldest record of Ornithuromorpha from the early cretaceous of China. Nature
 Communications 6: 6987.
- Wang, X, J K O'Connor, X Zheng, M Wang, H Hu, and Z Zhou. 2014. Insights into the
 evolution of rhachis dominated tail feathers from a new basal enantiornithine (Aves:
 Ornithothoraces). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 113: 805–819.
- Wellnhofer, P. 2009. Archaeopteryx: *The icon of evolution*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich
 Pfeil.
- 459 Wohlauer, E. 1901. Die Entwicklung des Embryonalgefieders von *Eudyptes chrysocome*.
 460 Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Anthropologie 4: 149–178.

461	Xing, L, P Cockx, R C McKellar and J K O'Connor. 2018. Ornamental feathers in Cretaceous
462	Burmese amber: resolving the enigma of rachis-dominated feather structure. <i>Journal of</i>
463	Palaeogeography 7: 13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s42501-018-0014-2</u> .
464	Xu, X, and Y Guo. 2009. The origin and early evolution of feathers: insights from recent
465	paleontological and neontological data. <i>Vertebrata PalAsiatica</i> 47: 311–329.
466	Xu, X, Q Ma, and D Hu. 2010. Pre-Archaeopteryx coelurosaurian dinosaurs and their
467	implications for understanding avian origins. Chinese Science Bulletin 55: 3971–3977.
468	Xu, X, X Zheng, and H You. 2010a. Exceptional dinosaur fossils show ontogenetic
469	development of early feathers. <i>Nature</i> 464: 1338–1341.
470	Xu, X, X Zheng, and H You. 2010b. Reply: Moulting tail feathers in a juvenile
471	oviraptorosaur. <i>Nature</i> 468: E2.
472	Xu, X, X Zheng, C Sullivan, W Wang, L Xing, Y Wang, X Zhang, J K O'Connor, F Zhang,
473	and Y Pan. 2015. A bizarre Jurassic maniraptoran theropod with preserved evidence of
474	membranous wings. <i>Nature</i> 521: 70–73.
475	Yu, M, P Wu, R B Widelitz, and C Chuong. 2002. The morphogenesis of feathers. <i>Nature</i>
476	420: 308–312.
477	Zhang, F, S L Kearns, P J Orr, M J Benton, Z Zhou, D Johnson, X Xu, and X Wang. 2010.
478	Fossilized melanosomes and the colour of Cretaceous dinosaurs and birds. <i>Nature</i> 463:
479	1075–1078.

480	Zhang, F, Z Zhou, and M J Benton. 2008. A primitive confuciusornithid bird from China and
481	its implications for early avian flight. Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences 51:
482	625–639.
483	Zhang, F, Z Zhou, and G J Dyke. 2006. Feathers and "feather-like" integumentary structures
484	in Liaoning birds and dinosaurs. <i>Geological Journal</i> 41: 395–404.
485	Zhang, F, Z Zhou, X Xu, X Wang, and C Sullivan. 2008. A bizarre Jurassic maniraptoran
486	from China with elongate ribbon-like feathers. <i>Nature</i> 455: 1105–1108.
487	Zheng, X, Z Zhang, and L Hou. 2007. A new enantiornitine bird with four long rectrices from
488	the Early Cretaceous of northern Hebei, China. <i>Acta Geologica Sinica</i> 81: 703–708.
489	Zheng, X, J K O'Connor, X Wang, Y Pan, Y Wang, M Wang, and Z Zhou. 2017. Exceptional
490	preservation of soft tissue in a new specimen of <i>Eoconfuciusornis</i> and its biological
491	implications. <i>National Science Review</i> 4: 441–452.
492	

494 Figure legends



496	Fig. X-1. Examples of elongated rhachis dominated feathers in stem birds. A <i>Confuciusornis</i>
497	<i>sanctus</i> (IVPP V13156) with rhachis dominated racket plumes indicated by the arrow. B
498	Eopengornis martini (STM24-1) with rhachis dominated rectrices showing the common
499	pennaceous morphology. C Enantiornithes indet. (GSGM-07-CM-001) with rhachis
500	dominated racket plumes. D Details of the distal end of the rhachis dominated racket plumes
501	of <i>Confuciusornis sanctus</i> . E Details of the distal end of the rhachis dominated racket plumes
502	of GSGM-07-CM-001. dv distal vane, ls lateral stripe, ms median stripe, r rhachis, v vane.
503	Scale bars in B-E is 2 cm.

504



Fig. X-2. Different interpretations of the morphology of rhachis dominated racket plumes in 506 507 stem birds. A Morphology after Zhang et al. (2006, 2008), Xu and Guo (2009), Xu et al. (2010a). **B** Morphology after Xu et al. (2010b) and O'Connor et al. (2012). **C** Morphology 508 after Foth (2012). **D** Current interpretation based on the comparison with various modern 509 feather types, including penguin body feathers. **b** barbs of the distal vane, **ca** calamus, **ipmr** 510 511 internal pigmented median ridge, **iplr** internal pigmented lateral ridge, **mc** medullary cavity, **r** 512 rhachis, **sb** short barbs, **sv** sheet-like vanes, **vf** ventral furrow. Illustration of elongated tail feathers modified after Xu et al. (2010). 513



Fig. X-3. Examples of distal-vaned feather in extant birds. A Racket plumes of a female
strange-tailed tyrant (*Alectrurus risora*, Tyrannidae). B Racket plumes of booted racket-tail
(*Ocreatus underwoodii*, Trochilidae). C Racket plumes of king bird-of-paradise (*Cicinnurus regius*, Paradisaeidae). D Racket plumes of the Amazonian motmot (*Momotus momota*,
Coraciiformes). E Drawing of a filoplume with details of the calamus morphology. ca
calamus, dv distal vane, pv proximal vane, r rhachis, re rectrices. A-D Photos by HansRüdiger Siegel (NHMF-2016). E modified after Lucas and Stettenheim (1972).



Fig. X-4. Morphology of a pennaceous body feather of the emperor penguin (*Aptenodytes forsteri*). A Dorsal view. B Ventral view. C-E Drawings of the cross-section of rhachis of a
pennaceous body feather of *Aptenodytes forsteri* from different portions. do dorsal, ve ventral.
b pennaceous barbs, ca calamus, ipmr internal pigmented median ridge, r rhachis, vf ventral
furrow. Scale bars in A-B is 1 cm. C-E modified after Rutschke 1965.