

Supplementary material : results

Transfer tasks: training effect and retention

Simon task

Incompatible RT: there were a main effect of Group ($F_{2,49} = 3.38$; $p = .042$; $\eta_p^2 = .12$), a main effect of Time ($F_{2,98} = 31.7$; $p = .000$; $\eta_p^2 = .39$) and a significant Group x Time interaction ($F_{4,98} = 322$; $p = .015$; $\eta_p^2 = .11$). The post hoc tests revealed that the RTs in POST and RET decreased as compared to PRE in the Complex and the Simple groups (all $p < .003$). In the passive Control group, there was no effect of Time.

Compatible RT: there was no main effect of Group ($F_{2,49} = 2.53$; $p = .089$; $\eta_p^2 = .09$). There was a main effect of Time ($F_{2,98} = 24.7$; $p < .001$; $\eta_p^2 = .33$). The Bonferroni post-hoc showed that RTs decreased at POST (350 ± 41 ms) and RET (354 ± 40 ms) as compared to PRE (386 ± 52 ms) (all $p < .001$) but not between POST and RET. There was no Group x Time interaction.

Compatible errors: non-parametric tests did not reveal any significant effect of Group at POST ($H(2) = 5.21$; $p = .074$; $\eta^2 = .06$). However, there was a main effect of Time in the Complex group ($\chi^2(N=18, df=2) = 7.62$; $p = .022$; Kendalls' $W = 0.21$).

Incompatible errors: non-parametric tests revealed a significant effect of Group for the percentage of error at POST ($H(2) = 5.84$; $p = .05$; $\eta^2 = .08$). There was an effect of Time in the Complex group ($\chi^2(N=18, df=2) = 9.77$; $p = .007$; Kendalls' $W = 0.27$) and in the Simple group ($\chi^2(N=17, df=2)=14.16$; $p < .001$; Kendalls' $W = 0.42$).

Eriksen flanker task

Congruent RT: there was no main effect of Group for the RT ($F_{2,49} = 1.87$; $p = .16$). There was a main effect of Time ($F_{2,98} = 36.8$; $p < .0001$; $\eta_p^2 = .43$), driven by a decrease of RT in POST and RET as compared to PRE (all $p < .0001$). There was a Group x Time interaction ($F_{4,98} = 3.58$; $p = .0090$; $\eta_p^2 = .13$), showing a decrease of RT after training (POST and RET; all $p < .0001$) in the Complex and the Simple groups but not in the Control group. There was no difference in RTs between POST and RET.

Congruent errors: whatever the time point, there was no effect of Group in the percentage of error (all $p > .05$). There was a significant effect of Time ($\chi^2(N = 17, df = 2) = 9.54$; $p = .008$; Kendalls' $W = 0.28$) in the Simple group. The percentage of error increased from 0.6% (PRE) to 3.4% (POST) and decreased to 1.0% (RET).

Incongruent RT: there was no main effect of Group ($F_{2,49} = 1.99$; $p = .15$). There was a main effect of Time ($F_{2,98} = 38.10$; $p < .001$; $\eta_p^2 = .44$), driven by a decrease of RTs in POST and RET as compared to PRE (all $p < .001$). There was no difference between POST and RET. There was no Group x Time interaction ($F_{4,98} = 2.27$; $p = .066$; $\eta_p^2 = .084$).

Incongruent errors: there was a significant effect of Group at POST ($H(2) = 16.71$; $p < .001$; $\eta^2 = 0.30$) and RET ($H(2) = 6.09$; $p = .047$; $\eta^2 = 0.08$). After the training phase, the percentage of error was higher in the

Complex (23.3%) and the Simple (22.5%) groups as compared to the Control (10.4%) group (all $p < .003$). After the retention period, the percentage of error remained higher in the Complex group (20.0%) as compared to the Control group (11.9%) ($p < .047$) only. There was a main effect of Time for the Complex group ($\chi^2(N=18, df=2) = 14.18$; $p = .0008$; Kendalls' $W = 0.39$). The percentage of error increased from 12.4% (PRE) to 23.3% (POST) and slightly decreased to 20.0% (RET). There was a significant effect of Time in the Simple group ($\chi^2(N=17, df=2)=12.59$; $p = .002$; Kendalls' $W = 0.37$). The percentage of error increased from 10.1% (PRE) to 22.5% (POST) and decreased to 14.9% (RET).

Neutral RT: there was no main effect of Group ($F_{2,49} = 1.60$; $p = .21$). There was a main effect of Time ($F_{2,98} = 38.8$; $p < .001$; $\eta_p^2 = .44$), driven by a decrease of RTs in POST and RET as compared to PRE (all $p < .001$). There was no Group x Time interaction ($F_{4,98} = 2.18$; $p = .076$; $\eta_p^2 = .081$).

Neutral errors: there was a significant effect of Group at POST ($H(2) = 10.06$; $p = .006$; $\eta^2 = 0.16$), with a difference between the Complex (6.8%) and the Control groups (2.1%) ($p = .01$). There was a main effect of Time for the Complex group ($\chi^2(N=18, df=2) = 15.13$; $p < .001$; Kendalls' $W = 0.42$). The percentage of error increased from 2.1% (PRE) to 6.8% (POST) and decreased to 3.3% (RET).