

Adipose Tissue Plasticity in Catch-up Growth Trajectories to Metabolic Syndrome: Hyperplastic *versus* Hypertrophic Catch-up Fat

Abdul G. Dulloo

Department of Medicine / Physiology , University of Fribourg, Switzerland.

e-mail: abdul.dulloo@unifr.ch

In the mid-1980's, at a time when the concept of Syndrome X was being introduced by Reaven (1) to draw attention to the cardiovascular risks associated with insulin resistance and compensatory hyperinsulinemia, Tanner (2) was emphasizing a fundamental property of human growth as a target-seeking function: "*Children, no less than rockets, have their trajectories, governed by control systems of their genetic constitution and powered by the energy absorbed from the environment. Deflect the child from its natural growth trajectory (by acute malnutrition or a sudden lack of a hormone), and a restoring force develops, so that as soon as the missing food or the absent hormone is supplied again, the child hastens to catch-up towards its original growth curve. When it gets there, the child slows again, to adjust its path onto the old trajectory once more. How the child does this we do not know.*" What was also unknown (and unforeseen) then was that the 'restoring force' that drives catch-up growth – long viewed as an essential feature of recovery from the deleterious effects of poor growth on development and health - could emerge as a major risk factor for disease entities of syndrome X, now more commonly known as the insulin resistance syndrome or metabolic syndrome.

There are now compelling evidence, from both epidemiological and clinical studies, which suggest that people who had low birth weight (often a marker for foetal growth constraints) or who showed reduced growth rate during infancy and childhood, but who subsequently showed catch-up growth, have higher susceptibility for abdominal obesity, glucose intolerance, type 2 diabetes or cardiovascular diseases later in life (3-7). The risks for later obesity and type 2 diabetes seem particularly high when catch-up growth occurs early in postnatal life (4, 6, 7) - a pattern of accelerated growth that is common in individuals born small-for-gestational-age. Independently of the timing of catch-up growth, however, the dynamic process of catch-up growth is characterized by a disproportionately faster rate of fat deposition relative to that of lean tissue, with this phenomenon of 'preferential catch-up fat' being intimately associated with hyperinsulinemia (8, 9). The development of insulin resistance interlinked with catch-up fat could thus be an early feature of the mechanisms by which catch-up growth confers increased risk for metabolic syndrome later in life. Of central importance to our understanding of the pathophysiology of catch-up fat, therefore, is the issue of whether (and how) processes that regulate fat storage during catch-up fat may lead to a state of insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance.

From a standpoint of systems physiology, three fundamental autoregulatory control systems could be implicated in preferential catch-up fat: (i) compensatory hyperphagia, (ii) an increase in fat mass at the expense of lean body mass, and (iii) an increase in metabolic efficiency, i.e. energy conservation mechanisms operating through suppressed thermogenesis, and which are embodied in the concept of a 'thrifty energy metabolism'. The fact that the phenomenon of preferential catch-up fat persists in the absence of hyperphagia or altered lean body mass (9, 10) underscores a central role for suppressed thermogenesis as a fundamental physiological reaction to growth retardation and consequential body fat depletion or delayed adipose tissue development. It has been proposed (8, 9) that as skeletal muscle is a major site for both thermogenesis and insulin-mediated glucose disposal, a reduction in muscle metabolic rate will result in diminished glucose utilization and glucose sparing, thereby leading to compensatory hyperinsulinaemia. As depicted schematically in **Figure 1**, this in turn, would serve to redirect the spared glucose towards *de-novo* lipogenesis and fat storage in adipose

tissue. Various features of this muscle-adipose glucose redistribution hypothesis are supported by studies conducted in a rat model of post-weaning semistarvation-refeeding exhibiting an enhanced metabolic efficiency for catch-up fat during catch-up growth (10-13). Whether such thrifty catch-up fat phenotype can be programmed or imprinted in response to catch-up growth, and hence long-lasting so as to continue operating beyond the phase of catch-up growth is not known. However, studies in men born small-for-gestational-age are consistent with key components of the model presented in Figure 1, namely the existence of a state of suppressed thermogenesis as judged from their lower preprandial and postprandial resting energy expenditure, even after adjustments for weight, height, lean tissue mass or organ size (14), and diminished muscle PI3 kinase signalling (15) – a pathway that crosslinks insulin signaling and thermogenesis in skeletal muscle (16).

In this issue of *Diabetes*, Isganaitis et al (17) point to additional mechanisms, centered on perturbations in white adipose tissue plasticity, which could modulate the impact of a programmed thrifty catch-up fat phenotype on glucose homeostasis. The studies were conducted in mice which they previously showed exhibit rapid postnatal catch-up growth (after malnutrition-induced low birth weight) and which subsequently develop progressive glucose intolerance and obesity in the absence of hyperphagia and impaired lean tissue growth (18), i.e. a murine model of postnatal catch-up growth whose obesity later in life seems to reside in a ‘programmed’ suppression of thermogenesis. By applying state-of-the art techniques of gene profiling and histology to white adipose tissue harvested during postnatal catch-up fat deposition, Isganaitis *et al* (17) found that key genes controlling the flux of glucose towards lipogenesis were upregulated, whereas the expression of genes implicated in adipocyte differentiation/proliferation were unaltered; these data being consistent with unaltered adipocyte number and a marked adipocyte hypertrophy at the end of catch-up growth. These findings, together with their other observations that adipocyte number still failed to increase several weeks after the completion of catch-up growth, argue for the programming of a thrifty ‘hypertrophic’ catch-up fat phenotype that incorporates defective adipogenic mechanisms. However, in the light of apparently contradictory results indicating that postnatal catch-up growth after fetal malnutrition programs proliferation of preadipocytes in rats (19), it remains to be demonstrated whether the defect in enhancing adipogenesis observed during catch-up fat deposition and beyond in the mice studied by Isganaitis *et al* (17) is specifically a ‘programmed’ response to early postnatal catch-up growth or the consequence of an inherent, genetically-determined, low capacity of this mouse strain to mount an enhanced adipogenic response to excess fat accumulation. In other words, genetic-epigenetic interactions could be critical in defining hyperplastic catch-up fat versus hypertrophic catch-up fat. As depicted in Figure 1, an hypertrophic catch-up fat phenotype is more likely to predispose to diminished adipose tissue insulin sensitivity, enhanced secretion of proinflammatory adipokines that induce insulin resistance in all insulin-sensitive tissues, and to spilling-over of lipids to non-adipose tissues with consequential risks for ectopic lipotoxicity (20) – all of which would confer enhanced susceptibility for later development of various components of the metabolic syndrome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Dr. Giovanni Solinas for useful comments. This work is supported by Swiss National Science Research Foundation (grant # 3200B0-113634). The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Reaven GM: Why Syndrome X ? From Harold Himmsworth to the Insulin Resistance Syndrome. *Cell Metabolism* 1: 9-14, 2005
2. Tanner JM: Growth as a target-seeking function: Catch-up and catch-down growth in man. In *Human Growth: A comprehensive Treatise*, 2nd Edition, edited by F. Falkner and JM Tanner, Plenum Press, London; volume 1: pp167-178, 1986
3. Eriksson JG, Forsén T, Tuomilehto J, Winter PD, Osmond C, Barker DJ: Catch-up growth in childhood and death from coronary heart disease: longitudinal study. *BMJ* 318, 427-431, 1999
4. Ong KK, Ahmed ML, Emmett PM, Preece MA, Dunger DB: Association between postnatal catch-up growth and obesity in childhood: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 320; 967-971, 2000
5. Eriksson JG, Osmond C, Kajantie E, Forsén TJ, Barker DJ: Patterns of growth among children who later develop type 2 diabetes or its risk factors. *Diabetologia* 49, 2853-8, 2006
6. Ibáñez L, Ong K, Dunger DB, de Zegher F: Early development of adiposity and insulin resistance after catch-up weight gain in small-for-gestational-age children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 91, 2153-8, 2006
7. Meas T, Deghmoun S, Armoogum P, Alberti C, Levy-Marchal C: Consequences of being born small for gestational age on body composition: an 8-year follow-up study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 93, 3804-9, 2008
8. Dulloo AG, Jacquet J, Montani JP: Pathways from weight fluctuations to metabolic diseases: focus on maladaptive thermogenesis during catch-up fat. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 26 (Suppl 2), S46-57, 2002
9. Dulloo AG: Thrifty energy metabolism in catch-up growth trajectories to insulin and leptin resistance. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 22: 155-71, 2008
10. Crescenzo R, Samec S, Antic V, Rohner-Jeanrenaud F, Seydoux J, Montani JP, Dulloo AG: A role for suppressed thermogenesis favoring catch-up fat in the pathophysiology of catch-up growth. *Diabetes* 52: 1090-7, 2003
11. Cettour-Rose P, Samec S, Russell AP, Summermatter S, Mainieri D, Carrillo-Theander C, Montani JP, Seydoux J, Rohner-Jeanrenaud F, Dulloo AG: Redistribution of glucose from skeletal muscle to adipose tissue during catch-up fat: a link between catch-up growth and later metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes* 54, 751-6, 2005
12. Crescenzo R, Lionetti L, Mollica MP, Ferraro M, D'Andrea E, Mainieri D, Dulloo AG, Liverini G, Iossa S: Altered skeletal muscle subsarcolemmal mitochondrial compartment during catch-up fat after caloric restriction. *Diabetes* 55, 2286-93, 2006
13. Summermatter S, Mainieri D, Russell AP, Seydoux J, Montani JP, Buchala A, Solinas G, Dulloo AG: Thrifty metabolism that favors fat storage after caloric restriction: a role for

- skeletal muscle phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase activity and AMP-activated protein kinase. *FASEB J* 22, 774-85, 2008
14. Kensara OA, Wootton SA, Phillips DI, Patel M, Hoffman DJ, Jackson AA, Elia M: Substrate-energy metabolism and metabolic risk factors for cardiovascular disease in relation to fetal growth and adult body composition. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 291, E365-71, 2006
 15. Jensen CB, Martin-Gronert MS, Storgaard H, Madsbad S, Vaag A, Ozanne SE: Altered PI3-kinase/Akt signalling in skeletal muscle of young men with low birth weight. *PLoS ONE*. 3, e3738, 2008
 16. Solinas G, Summermatter S, Mainieri D, Gubler M, Pirola L, Wymann MP, Rusconi S, Montani JP, Seydoux J, Dulloo AG: The direct effect of leptin on skeletal muscle thermogenesis is mediated by substrate cycling between de novo lipogenesis and lipid oxidation. *FEBS Lett* 19, 577, 539-44, 2004
 17. Isganaitis E, Jimenez-Chillaron J, Woo M, Chow A, Decoste J, Vokes M, Liu M, Kasif S, Zavacki AM, Leshan R, Myers M, Patti ME: Accelerated Postnatal Growth Increases Lipogenic Gene Expression and Adipocyte Size in LBW Mice. *Diabetes* ([in this issue](#)) 2009
 18. Jimenez-Chillaron JC, Hernandez-Valencia M, Lightner A, Faucette RR, Reamer C, Przybyla R, Ruest S, Barry K, Otis JP, Patti ME: Reductions in caloric intake and early postnatal growth prevent glucose intolerance and obesity associated with low birthweight. *Diabetologia* 49, 1974-84, 2006
 19. Bol VV, Reusens BM, Remacle CA: Postnatal Catch-up Growth After Fetal Protein Restriction Programs Proliferation of Rat Preadipocytes. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 2008 Oct 2. [Epub ahead of print]
 20. Slawik M, Vidal-Puig AJ: Adipose tissue expandability and the metabolic syndrome. *Genes Nutr* 2, 41-5, 2007

Legend to Figure 1:

Conceptual model depicting mechanisms by which the thrifty catch-up fat phenotype, driven by suppressed thermogenesis, may cross-link with the development of insulin resistance and glucose intolerance. The depletion (or delayed growth/development) of the adipose tissue fat stores suppresses skeletal muscle thermogenesis, which during refeeding leads to concomitant glucose sparing and muscle insulin resistance. The resulting compensatory hyperinsulinemia serves to redirect the glucose spared from oxidation in skeletal muscle towards *de novo* lipogenesis and fat storage in white adipose tissue. In hyperplastic catch-up fat, the greater number of smaller adipocytes coupled with lipogenesis provide an efficient buffering capacity against the spared glucose, and hence help to achieve normal glucose tolerance. By contrast, in hypertrophic catch-up fat, the low capacity for adipogenesis coupled with lipogenesis generate enlarged adipocytes, which are more prone to release proinflammatory cytokines and/or to spill-over of lipids to non-adipocytes (with consequential ectopic lipotoxicity). This further exacerbates insulin resistance in skeletal muscle and other insulin-sensitive tissues, thereby resulting in more pronounced systemic insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance. The outcome of catch-up growth towards an hyperplastic or an hypertrophic catch-up fat phenotype is determined by interactions between genetics, epigenetics and environment.

