

Dual targeting of a single tRNA^{Trp} requires two different tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetases in *Trypanosoma brucei*

Fabien Charrière*, Sunna Helgadóttir†, Elke K. Horn*, Dieter Söll†*§, and André Schneider*§

*Department of Biology/Cell and Developmental Biology, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 10, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland; and Departments of †Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry and ‡Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520-8114

Contributed by Dieter Söll, March 22, 2006

The mitochondrion of *Trypanosoma brucei* does not encode any tRNAs. This deficiency is compensated for by the import of a small fraction of nearly all of its cytosolic tRNAs. Most trypanosomal aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases are encoded by single-copy genes, suggesting the use of the same enzyme in the cytosol and mitochondrion. However, the *T. brucei* genome contains two distinct genes for eukaryotic tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (TrpRS). RNA interference analysis established that both TrpRS1 and TrpRS2 are essential for growth and required for cytosolic and mitochondrial tryptophanyl-tRNA formation, respectively. Decoding the mitochondrial tryptophan codon UGA requires mitochondria-specific C→U RNA editing in the anticodon of the imported tRNA^{Trp}. *In vitro* charging assays with recombinant TrpRS enzymes demonstrated that the edited anticodon and the mitochondria-specific thiolation of U33 in the imported tRNA^{Trp} act as antideterminants for the cytosolic TrpRS1. The existence of two TrpRS enzymes, therefore, can be explained by the need for a mitochondrial synthetase with extended substrate specificity to achieve aminoacylation of the imported thiolated and edited tRNA^{Trp}. Thus, the notion that, in an organism, all nuclear-encoded tRNAs assigned to a given amino acid are charged by a single aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase, is not universally valid.

genetic code | mitochondria | RNA editing | aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase

In animal and most fungal mitochondria, the total set of tRNAs required for translation is encoded in the mitochondrial genome and, therefore, of bacterial evolutionary origin. The aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) responsible for charging of mitochondrial tRNAs always are nuclear-encoded and, therefore, need to be imported into mitochondria (1). However, their evolutionary origin, just as the one of their substrate tRNAs, is in most cases bacterial. Thus eukaryotes, if we exclude all plastid-containing organisms, generally have two sets of aaRSs, one for cytosolic and a second one for mitochondrial aminoacyl-tRNA synthesis. In most eukaryotes, however, there are a few aaRSs that are targeted to both the cytosol and the mitochondria, indicating that the two sets of enzymes overlap to a limited extent (2).

Most mitochondrial genetic systems show deviations from the universal genetic code. The most common one is a reassignment of the termination codon UGA to tryptophan (3). Thus, to decode the UGA tryptophan codon, mitochondria require a nonstandard tRNA^{Trp} carrying a UCA instead of CCA anticodon. Cytosolic tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} is aminoacylated by a eukaryotic tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (TrpRS) (4), whereas the mitochondrial-encoded tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} is charged by a bacterial-type TrpRS (5). The CCA anticodon is a known identity element for both enzymes (6–8). It is clear, however, that the bacterial-type enzyme in mitochondria must be able to tolerate an UCA anticodon (5).

In contrast to animals and most fungi, mitochondria from protozoa and plants generally lack a variable number of mitochondrial tRNA genes. In these cases, the missing tRNAs are replaced by import of a small fraction of the corresponding nuclear-encoded cytosolic tRNAs (9). As a consequence, the

imported tRNAs are always of a eukaryotic evolutionary origin. An intriguing situation is found in trypanosomatids, e.g., *Trypanosoma brucei* and *Leishmania* spp., which have lost all mitochondrial tRNA genes (10–12). Their mitochondrial translation system therefore must function exclusively with imported eukaryotic-type tRNAs.

Trypanosomatid mitochondria use UGA as tryptophan codon. However, the only tRNA^{Trp} gene in *T. brucei* is nuclear and carries the standard tryptophan anticodon CCA. Recent work in *Leishmania* revealed that trypanosomatids use RNA editing to convert the CCA anticodon of ≈40% of the imported tRNA^{Trp} to UCA; the resulting tRNA now is able to recognize UGA codons (13). Besides RNA editing, the imported tRNA^{Trp} is subjected to additional mitochondria-specific modifications; most importantly, the thiolation of U33, the “universally unmodified” uridine in all known tRNAs (14). tRNA editing is not required for thiolation of U33 but it is possible that the modification is needed for editing. In any case, the close proximity of the thiolated U33 to the anticodon suggests that it influences decoding.

Cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNAs of trypanosomatids originate from the same set of nuclear genes. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the same aaRSs are used in the cytosol and in mitochondria. This assumption is supported by the fact that in *T. brucei*, most aaRSs are represented by single genes only (15). Furthermore a dual localization in the cytosol and in mitochondria has been shown for *T. brucei* glutamyl-tRNA synthetase and the glutamyl-tRNA synthetase (16). The imported trypanosomal tRNA^{Trp}, however, represents a special case, because its anticodon loop, due to the editing event and the thiolation of U33, differs from its cytosolic counterpart (14). This situation raises the question of how cytosolic and mitochondrial tryptophanyl-tRNA species are formed in *T. brucei*? Here we show that unlike most other trypanosomal tRNAs, because of the mitochondrial use of UGA as tryptophan codon, cytosolic and mitochondrial aminoacylation of tRNA^{Trp} requires two distinct eukaryotic-type TrpRSs.

Results

The *T. brucei* Genome Encodes Two Eukaryotic TrpRSs. In the genome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, probably the best characterized eukaryote, we find annotated genes for 36 different aaRSs (www.yeastgenome.org). These enzymes can be divided into 16 cytosol-specific ones, 14 of which are specific for mitochondria, and four are known to be doubly targeted to the cytosol and the mitochondria (these numbers are still, in part, based on predictions and, therefore, represent approximations). It is striking to compare yeast with *T.*

Abbreviations: aaRS, aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase; OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; RNAi, RNA interference; TrpRS, tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase.

§To whom correspondence may be addressed. E-mail: dieter.soll@yale.edu or andre.schneider@unifr.ch.





Fig. 1. *T. brucei* contains two eukaryotic TrpRSs. (A) Multiple sequence alignment of the cytosolic TrpRS from *S. cerevisiae* (ScWRS1) and the two *T. brucei* orthologues (*Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*). The sequences were aligned by using the CLUSTAL W program with default parameters. Strictly conserved residues and conservative replacements are shown in black and gray boxes, respectively. (B) Position of the two trypanosomal enzymes *Tb-TrpRS1* (*Tb1*) and *Tb-TrpRS2* (*Tb2*) in a phylogenetic tree based on a multiple sequence alignment of the cytosolic TrpRS from mouse [*Mus musculus* (*Mm*)], *Drosophila melanogaster* (*Dm*), and yeast [*S. cerevisiae* (*Sc*)]; the mitochondrial enzyme of yeast (*Sc mito*) and mouse (*Mm mito*); and, indicated by gray letters, the TrpRS from the bacteria *Corynebacterium glutamicum* (*Cg*), *Bacillus subtilis* (*Bs*), and *E. coli* (*Ec*). The tree was constructed by using TREEVIEW, which is available on <http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/treeview.html>.

brucei, whose genome encodes only 23 annotated aaRSs (15). This low number makes sense because the tRNAs in the cytosol and mitochondria derive from the same nuclear genes (10); it therefore can be expected that the same aaRSs are used in both compartments. Nevertheless, two distinct genes are found for aspartyl-tRNA synthetase, lysyl-tRNA synthetase, and TrpRS. Furthermore, in each case, one of the two proteins is predicted to have a mitochondrial targeting sequence. It is not obvious why *T. brucei* should have two aspartyl- or lysyl-tRNA synthetases, because the corresponding cytosolic and mitochondrial substrate tRNAs derived from the same nuclear genes. However, the need for two distinct TrpRSs might be explained by the fact that because of editing in the mitochondrion, cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} differ in an anticodon nucleotide (13). In this study, we focus on the functional analysis of *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*, the two trypanosomal TrpRS homologues. The two proteins are 41% identical, phylogenetic analysis shows that both are of the eukaryotic type, and *Tb-TrpRS2* contains a predicted 50-aa mitochondrial presequence (Fig. 1). *Tb-TrpRS1* shares 48–53% sequence identity to eukaryotic TrpRSs, whereas *Tb-TrpRS2* is more diverged, showing an identity to other eukaryotic TrpRSs of only 39–41%. Interestingly, essentially the same situation is found in *Leishmania* (17). The




Fig. 2. Localization of trypanosomal TrpRSs. (A *Top and Center*) Double immunofluorescence analysis of a *T. brucei* cell line expressing *Tb-TrpRS1* carrying the Ty1 tag at its carboxyl terminus under the control of the tetracycline-inducible (+Tet and –Tet) procylin promoter. The cells were stained for DNA by using DAPI, for a subunit of the ATPase, serving as a mitochondrial marker and with a monoclonal antibody recognizing the Ty1-Tag. (A *Bottom*) Same as *Top and Center*, but a cell line expressing carboxyl-terminally Ty1-tagged *Tb-TrpRS2* was analyzed. (Scale bars: 10 μ m.) (B) Immunoblot analysis of total cellular (T), crude cytosolic (C), and crude mitochondrial extracts (M) for the presence of the Ty1-tagged *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*, respectively. Elongation factor 1a (EF-1a) served as a cytosolic and α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (KDH) as a mitochondrial marker.

two leishmanial proteins *Lm-TrpRS1* and *Lm-TrpRS2* are 76% and 59% identical to their trypanosomal counterparts.

Intracellular Localization of *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*. *Tb-TrpRS2* is predicted to have a mitochondrial targeting signal. However, in *T. brucei*, such predictions are difficult because mitochondrial presequences can be very short (18). Thus, to determine the localization of the two enzymes, we prepared transgenic cell lines, allowing inducible expression of *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2* versions carrying the 10-aa-long Ty1-peptide as epitope tags at their carboxyl termini (19). Immunofluorescence analysis by using an anti Ty1-antibody showed a tetracycline-inducible diffuse staining of the tagged *Tb-TrpRS1*, consistent with a cytosolic localization (Fig. 2A *Top and Center*). For tagged *Tb-TrpRS2*, on the other hand, a staining identical to the one seen with the mitochondrial marker was obtained (Fig. 2A *Bottom*). Furthermore, the two transgenic cell lines were subjected to a biochemical analysis that showed that the tagged *Tb-TrpRS1* copurifies with the cytosolic marker, whereas the tagged *Tb-TrpRS2* together with the mitochondrial marker is recovered in the pellet (Fig. 2B). These results are consistent with the immunofluorescence analysis and show that the two TrpRSs have a nonoverlapping intracellular distribution: *Tb-TrpRS1* is exclusively cytosolic and *Tb-TrpRS2* is exclusively mitochondrially localized.

RNA Interference (RNAi)-Mediated Ablation of *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*. To determine the function of *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*, we established two stable transgenic cell lines, which allow tetra-




Fig. 3. *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2* are essential for the growth of procyclic *T. brucei* and are responsible for formation of tryptophanyl-tRNA in the cytosol and the mitochondria, respectively (A) Growth curve in the presence and absence of tetracycline (+Tet and -Tet) of a representative clonal *T. brucei* RNAi cell line ablated for *Tb-TrpRS1*. (A inset) A Northern blot for *Tb-TrpRS1* mRNA. The time of sampling is indicated by the arrow. The rRNAs in the lower panel serve as loading controls. (B) Same as A for an RNAi cell line ablated for *Tb-TrpRS2*. (C) Northern blot analysis of total and mitochondrial RNA isolated under acidic conditions from the *Tb-TrpRS1* RNAi cell line. The total RNA fraction only contains $\approx 5\%$ of mitochondrial RNA and, thus, essentially represents cytosolic RNA. Days of induction (0 and 3) by tetracycline are indicated at the bottom. The blots were probed for the *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp} as well as tRNA^{Leu} and tRNA^{Tyr}, which serve as controls not affected by the RNAi. The RNA fractions were resolved on long acid urea gels, which allow separation of aminoacylated from deacylated tRNAs. The bar graph shows the quantification of the results. Relative amounts of aminoacylated tRNAs are indicated for the tRNA^{Trp} and the controls (tRNA^{Leu} and tRNA^{Tyr}), respectively. For each lane, the sum of aminoacylated and deacylated tRNA was set to 100%. (D) Same as C, but analysis was done for the *Tb-TrpRS2* RNAi cell line.

cycline-inducible ablation of each of the two enzymes. The Northern blot insets in Fig. 3 A and B show that induction of RNAi in these two cell lines leads to specific degradation of the corresponding *Tb-TrpRS* mRNAs. Most importantly, concomitant with the depletion of the mRNAs, a growth arrest is observed 2 (for *Tb-TrpRS1*) and 3 days (for *Tb-TrpRS2*) after the addition of tetracycline (Fig. 3 A and B). Thus, *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2* are both essential for growth of insect stage *T. brucei*.

To determine the biochemical phenotype of the two RNAi cell lines, we isolated total and mitochondrial RNA from untreated cells and from cells grown in the presence of tetracycline. Subsequently, the RNAs were resolved on long acid urea polyacrylamide gels (20), followed by Northern blot analysis, to determine the ratio of uncharged tRNA^{Trp} to tryptophanyl-




Fig. 4. Ablation of *Tb-TrpRS2* selectively abolishes OXPHOS. Succinate and α -ketoglutarate mitochondrial ATP production in crude mitochondrial fractions of the *Tb-TrpRS2* RNAi cell line. Uninduced cells (-Tet) are shown on the left, and induced cells (+Tet) are shown on the right of the graphs. The substrate tested is indicated at the top, and additions of antimycin (antim.) and atractyloside (attract.) are indicated at the bottom. ATP productions in mitochondria isolated from uninduced cells tested without antimycin or atractyloside are set to 100%. The bars represent means expressed as percentages from three or more independent inductions. SEs are indicated.

tRNAs^{Trp} (Fig. 3 C and D). The results in Fig. 3C Left show that ablation of *Tb-TrpRS1* results in the accumulation of uncharged cytosolic tRNA^{Trp}. Interestingly, in measurements of the levels of tryptophanyl-tRNA^{Trp} in the induced *Tb-TrpRS2* cell line, the converse result was obtained, and a selective accumulation of deacylated mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} was observed (Fig. 3D Right). As expected, ablation of either TrpRS had no influence on the aminoacylation levels of cytosolic tRNA^{Leu} or mitochondrial tRNA^{Tyr}. These results show that, in agreement with its exclusive cytosolic localization, *Tb-TrpRS1* is responsible for aminoacylation of the cytosolic tRNA^{Trp}. On the other hand *Tb-TrpRS2*, in line with its mitochondrial localization, is required for charging of imported mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp}.

Ablation of *Tb-TrpRS2* abolishes mitochondrial protein synthesis and, consequently, is expected to interfere with oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). Mitochondria produce ATP, by OXPHOS and by substrate level phosphorylation linked to the citric acid cycle. We recently established an assay that allows quantitation of both modes of ATP production in isolated *T. brucei* mitochondria (21, 22). To measure antimycin-sensitive OXPHOS, mitochondria are incubated with ADP and succinate. α -ketoglutarate is used in the determination of the antimycin-resistant substrate level phosphorylation, whereas atractyloside treatment that prevents mitochondrial import of the added ADP is the control. The results in Fig. 4 show that ablation of *Tb-TrpRS2* selectively knocks down OXPHOS that, in part, depends on mitochondrial-encoded proteins but does not interfere with substrate level phosphorylation, which depends solely on nuclear encoded proteins.

Substrate Specificities of *Tb-TrpRS1* and *Tb-TrpRS2*. Recent work in *Leishmania* (14) showed that the imported tRNA^{Trp} is present in two main forms: (i) the tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} carrying a mitochondria-specific methylation on the C34 and (ii) the tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} in which the methylated C34 has been edited to a methylated U and which also contains a mitochondria-specific thiolated U33. Furthermore, it was shown that both tRNA^{Trp} forms contain a mitochondria-specific methylation on the Ψ 32 (Fig. 5A). Fig. 5B shows that in *T. brucei* $\approx 85\%$ of the imported tRNA^{Trp} is thiolated. Furthermore, RT-PCR analysis by using cytosolic and mitochondrial RNA as substrates demonstrates that $\approx 40\%$ of mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} is edited (Fig. 5C). Thus, in contrast to *Leishmania*, where all thiolated tRNA^{Trp} is edited (14), there is a population of *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp}, which is thiolated but not edited.

To test their substrate specificities, recombinant proteins of




Fig. 5. *Tb*-TrpRS1 and *Tb*-TrpRS2 have distinct substrate specificities. (A) Mitochondrial editing and modification events of the anticodon loop of the tRNA^{Trp} as described for *Leishmania* (14). The percentages of the thiolated U (S²U) and the C→U editing as determined for *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp} are indicated. (B Left) The percentage of thiomodified mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} of *T. brucei* was measured by *N*-acryloylaminophenylmercuric chloride gel electrophoresis (33) and Northern blot hybridization. The shifted band represents thiolated tRNA^{Trp}(S²U). (B Right) The fraction of thiolated mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} that remains thiolated after H₂O₂ treatment was determined as in the left lane. (C) Quantitative RT-PCR assay for edited tRNA^{Trp}. (C Upper) The cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNA fractions that were used as templates are free of DNA. (C Lower) The blot shows that RNA editing can be analyzed by a restriction digest because it destroys a *Hin*I site that is present in the cDNA derived from the unedited tRNA^{Trp} (14). Introduction of a synthetic *Hin*I plus 20 flanking nucleotides at the 5' end of the 5' RT-PCR primer provides an internal control for the *Hin*I digestion, allowing the quantitative determination of RNA editing. cDNA amplified from unedited tRNA^{Trp} contains two *Hin*I sites and, thus, will be digested into three fragments (46, 22, and 21 nt; unedited). The cDNA derived from edited tRNA^{Trp} contains the synthetic *Hin*I site only and will be digested into two fragments (68 and 21 nt; edited). Measuring the intensities of the diagnostic bands for nonedited (46 nt) and edited (68 nt) tRNA^{Trp} allows, after correcting for their different molecular mass, determination of the fraction of edited tRNA^{Trp} in *T. brucei* mitochondria. (D) *In vitro* aminoacylation assays by using [³H]tryptophan and recombinant *Tb*-TrpRS1 as well as *Tb*-TrpRS2 as enzymes. (Left and Center Left) Untreated and H₂O₂-treated cytosolic tRNA were charged. (Right and Center Right) Same as Left and Center Left but with untreated and H₂O₂-treated mitochondrial tRNAs. For each graph, the tRNA charged by the *Tb*-TrpRS2 was set to 100%. The percentage of mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} that is thiolated in the untreated and treated fractions is indicated on the right. The means and SE for three independent experiments are shown for aminoacylation of untreated tRNAs. For experiments using H₂O₂-treated tRNAs, the mean of two experiments is shown. The two values each for cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNAs varied by 20% and 10%, respectively. (E) Aminoacylation of *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp} overexpressed in *E. coli* by using 200 nM enzyme and 0.25 μg/μl tRNA. (Right) *Tb*-TrpRS1 charging of total *E. coli* tRNA with *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} overexpressed (■), total *E. coli* tRNA with *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} overexpressed (◆), and total *E. coli* tRNA (▲). (Left) *Tb*-TrpRS2 charging of total *E. coli* tRNA with *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} overexpressed (■), total *E. coli* tRNA with *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} overexpressed (◆), and total *E. coli* tRNA (▲).

Tb-TrpRS1 and *Tb*-TrpRS2 were overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* and purified to >95% homogeneity. *In vitro* charging assays showed that although neither enzyme was able to recognize *in vitro* transcripts corresponding to unedited or edited tRNA^{Trp} (data not shown), both efficiently aminoacylated isolated *T. brucei* cytosolic tRNA (Fig. 5D Left). Thus, the cytosolic unedited tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} can be charged with very similar efficiencies by both the cytosolic and the mitochondrial enzyme. Interestingly, however, when using isolated mitochondrial tRNAs as a substrate, the level of aminoacylation achieved by the cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1 dropped to 19% of that obtained with the mitochondrial enzyme (Fig. 5D Center Right). This fraction correlates with the level of nonthiolated mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} (Fig. 5B), suggesting that, in contrast to mitochondrial *Tb*-TrpRS2, *Tb*-TrpRS1 is not able to charge thiolated tRNA^{Trp}. Fig. 5B shows that hydrogen peroxide treatment produces a mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} population in which only 15% (instead of 85%) of the molecules contain thiolated U33. Interestingly, the H₂O₂-treated mitochondrial tRNA fraction can be charged by cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1 to a level that corresponds to ≈40% of the one observed with the mitochondrial enzyme (Fig. 5D Right). However, the reactivity of either enzyme did not change when tested with H₂O₂-treated cytosolic tRNAs, which do not contain thiolated tRNA^{Trp} (Fig. 5D Center Left). Thus, removing the thio group converts a population of mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} into a substrate for the cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1. Interestingly, even in the absence of thiolated U33, ≈60% of the mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} remained refractory to aminoacylation by the cytosolic enzyme. The uncharged fraction represents ≈50% of the thiolated U33 lacking tRNA^{Trp} population and, thus, is similar to the ≈40% observed for the edited population. Therefore, the most parsimonious explanation of these results is that the edited U34, just as the thiolated U33, both act as independent antideterminants for cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1. To confirm the charging results presented above, we expressed the *T. brucei* tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} and tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} genes in *E. coli* and isolated total tRNA. Aminoacylation of these tRNA samples with the two TrpRSs demonstrated (Fig. 5E) that the mitochondrial *Tb*-TrpRS2 enzyme charged both tRNA^{Trp} isoacceptors, whereas the cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1 acylated only tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA}. Neither enzyme charged *E. coli* tRNA. Thus, *Tb*-TrpRS2 efficiently aminoacylates the unedited and edited fraction of mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp}. Furthermore, the *in vivo* aminoacylation level of total mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} was shown to be close to 80% (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that both isoacceptors are used in mitochondrial protein synthesis, the unedited tRNA^{Trp} being restricted to decode the standard UGG tryptophan codons.

Our current understanding of the structure of eukaryotic TrpRS does not allow a prediction of the anticodon binding sites that might explain the different tRNA recognition properties. Based on structural modeling of human TrpRS (D. Kennedy and W. Yin, unpublished data), the putative anticodon-binding domain of *Tb*-TrpRS1 extends from G270-F373. The sequences of the two *Tb*-TrpRSs are quite different in this region; they share only 30% amino acid identity.

Discussion

One of the few generalizations about mitochondrial tRNA import states that only a small fraction of a given nuclear encoded tRNA is imported and that the remainder functions in cytosolic translation (9). Thus, in all organisms, imported tRNAs are always of the eukaryotic type. Mitochondrial translation, however, is of a bacterial evolutionary origin. tRNA import, therefore, can be considered as a horizontal gene product transfer between the eukaryotic and bacterial domains. There are some fundamental differences between eukaryotic and bacterial-type translation systems that, for some tRNAs, are expected to prevent the dual use in the cytosol and in mitochondria. One such difference is necessitated by mitochondrial variants of the genetic code. The most frequent code deviation

is the reassignment of the stop codon UGA to tryptophan (3). Reading this reassigned codon requires a tRNA^{Trp} with a UCA anticodon. Simultaneous use of this tRNA in the cytosol and in mitochondria, however, is not possible because it would act as a nonsense suppressor in the cytosol. In line with this constraint, it was recently shown that in most organisms that import tRNAs the mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} gene has been retained (23). Thus, it is unexpected that trypanosomatids import the tRNA^{Trp}. The way *Leishmania* mitochondria accommodate the change in the genetic code is by mitochondria-specific C→U editing of the first anticodon position of the imported tRNA^{Trp} (13). In the present work, we have analyzed the situation in the closely related *T. brucei* and shown that although editing of the imported tRNA^{Trp} is necessary, it is not sufficient to allow decoding of the mitochondrial UGA codons. The problem that arises is that the mitochondrially localized tRNA^{Trp} cannot be aminoacylated by the standard eukaryotic-type TrpRS (*Tb*-TrpRS1). In contrast to most other trypanosomal aaRSs, which have dual location and are used to charge cytosolic and imported tRNAs (16), *Tb*-TrpRS1 is exclusively found in the cytosol. A survey of the genome showed that *T. brucei* has a second TrpRS (*Tb*-TrpRS2) that is related to the cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1 but is localized exclusively in mitochondria. This enzyme has an extended substrate specificity and aminoacylates *in vitro* both cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp}. Further studies showed that both the thio-modified U33 and the C→U editing of the first nucleotide in the anticodon prevent mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} to be recognized by the cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1. The function of the thiolated U33 in mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} of trypanosomatids is unknown (14). However, the fact that it is localized immediately 5' of the first position of the anticodon suggests that it influences the decoding properties of the tRNA^{Trp} or that it even may be required for tRNA editing. In most systems, the reassignment of the UGA codon is accommodated by a single mutation in the mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} gene, which probably requires an adaptation of the mitochondrial TrpRS (3). The situation is different for mitochondria of trypanosomatids because they need (i) a mitochondria-specific tRNA editing enzyme that produces the tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} (13) and (ii) a separate TrpRS (*Tb*-TrpRS2) with an extended substrate specificity. The need for a second TrpRS is due to the fact that the C→U editing not only changes the decoding properties of the tRNA^{Trp} but also its identity toward the classic TrpRS (*Tb*-TrpRS1). Thus, recoding of UGA to tryptophan appears to be more costly for trypanosomatids than for other organisms.

Doubly targeted aaRSs, which are able to aminoacylate both nuclear-encoded tRNAs in the cytosol and the corresponding mitochondrial encoded tRNAs, are found in most, if not all, eukaryotes (2). In this study, we describe the converse situation in that tRNAs derived from the same nuclear gene require two distinct aaRSs for proper function. Thus, the presence of a single modification, be it the thio group on the U33 or the C→U editing of the anticodon, is sufficient to prevent aminoacylation by *Tb*-TrpRS1. Our results show that the notion that in an organism all nuclear-encoded tRNA isoacceptors for a given amino acid are charged by a single aaRS (4) is not universally valid.

The anticodon and the discriminator nucleotide are the major identity elements for TrpRS (6, 7). Whereas the anticodon is a phylogenetically shared identity element, the discriminator nucleotide differs between bacteria and eukaryotes (6), which explains why the two TrpRSs cannot efficiently cross-aminoacylate the corresponding tRNA^{Trp} species (24, 25). Most mitochondria decode UGA as tryptophan, thus it is clear that the bacterial-type mitochondrial TrpRS has evolved to tolerate an UCA anticodon (3, 5). Interestingly, the same applies for the eukaryotic mitochondria-localized *Tb*-TrpRS2 in *T. brucei* because, unlike the cytosolic *Tb*-TrpRS1, it is able to charge eukaryotic tRNA^{Trp} carrying an UCA anticodon. Thus, the existence of both bacterial and eukary-

otic TrpRSs that are able to charge mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp} carrying the nonstandard UCA anticodon is a result of convergent evolution. Whether bacterial or eukaryotic TrpRS is used inside mitochondria might be determined to a great extent by the discriminator nucleotide on the corresponding tRNA^{Trp} and, therefore, depends on whether the tRNA^{Trp} is encoded in the mitochondrial DNA or imported from the cytosol.

Thus *Tb*-TrpRS2 represents an adaptation to the fact that the trypanosomal mitochondrial translation system has to function with eukaryotic-type tRNAs. Another adaptation of the same kind is the unusual *T. brucei* methionyl-tRNA^{Met} formyltransferase (MTF), which because of the absence of a bacterial-type initiator tRNA^{Met}, has to formylate the only tRNA^{Met} present in mitochondria, which is the imported elongator tRNA^{Met} (26, 27). To perform their function, the trypanosomal MTF and the *Tb*-TrpRS2 had to develop a substrate specificity that is distinct from their orthologues. Trypanosomal MTF completely switched its specificity and exclusively formylates elongator tRNA^{Met}, unlike all other MTFs, which only recognize bacterial-type initiator tRNAs^{Met}. In the case of *Tb*-TrpRS2, the enzyme still recognizes the standard substrate for eukaryotic TrpRS, the tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA}, but has extended its substrate specificity to tRNAs^{Trp} carrying a thiolated U33 and an UCA anticodon.

Studies of the adaptations of mitochondrial translation factors that interact directly with tRNAs (e.g., aaRSs, initiation factor 2 (28), or elongation factor Tu) to imported eukaryotic tRNAs should yield additional surprises and reveal more fundamental requirements of translation.

Materials and Methods

Cells. Procytic *T. brucei*, strain 29-13 (29) was grown in SDM-79, supplemented with 15% FCS/25 μg/ml hygromycin/15 μg/ml G-418 at 27°C, and harvested at 1.5–3.5 × 10⁷ cells per ml.

Production of Transgenic Cell Lines. As a tag to localize *Tb*-TrpRS1 (accession no. *Tb*927.3.5580) and *Tb*-TrpRS2 (accession no. *Tb*927.8.2240), we used a 10-aa epitope of the major structural protein of yeast Ty1, which is recognized by the monoclonal antibody BB2 (19). The sequences corresponding to the carboxyl-terminal Ty1-tagged *Tb*-TrpRS1 and *Tb*-TrpRS2 were cloned into a derivative of pLew-100 to allow tetracycline-inducible expression of the tagged proteins (29).

RNAi of *Tb*-TrpRS1 and *Tb*-TrpRS2 was performed by using stem loop constructs containing the puromycin resistance gene (22). As inserts, we used a 537-bp fragment (nucleotides 4–540) of the *Tb*-TrpRS1 gene and a 537-bp fragment (nucleotides 4–540) of the *Tb*-TrpRS2 gene.

Transfection of *T. brucei* and selection with antibiotics, cloning, and induction with tetracycline were done as described in ref. 30.

Cell Fractionation by Digitonin. Fractionation of Ty1 epitope-tagged *Tb*-TrpRS1- and *Tb*-TrpRS2-expressing cells was done by digitonin extraction. Washed *T. brucei* cells (10⁸ cells) were resuspended in 0.5 ml of SoTE (0.6 M sorbitol/20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8/2 mM EDTA). After the addition of 0.5 ml of SoTE containing 0.03% (wt/vol) of digitonin, the samples were mixed by pipetting and incubated on ice for 5 min. The suspensions were centrifuged at 6,800 × g for 5 min at 4°C, resulting in a pellet that corresponds to a crude mitochondrial fraction and a supernatant fraction. The latter was cleared by centrifugation (10 min at 21,000 × g at 4°C), yielding a crude cytosolic fraction. Finally, 0.3 × 10⁷ cell equivalents each of total protein extract, crude cytosolic, and crude mitochondrial fractions were separated by SDS-gel electrophoresis and analyzed by immunoblotting.

Analysis of *in Vivo* Aminoacylation. Mitochondria from uninduced and induced *Tb*-TrpRS1 and *Tb*-TrpRS2 RNAi cell lines were

isolated by digitonin extraction and subsequent RNase treatment as described to yield a crude mitochondrial fraction that is essentially free of cytosolic RNAs (10). RNA was isolated from the crude mitochondrial fractions as well as uninduced and induced total cells by using the acid guanidinium isothiocyanate procedure (31), which allows isolation of charged tRNA. Then charged tRNA was separated from free tRNA on acid urea polyacrylamide gels as described in ref. 20 and visualized by Northern blot hybridization with labeled oligonucleotides (tRNA^{Trp}, TGAGGACTGCAGGGATTG; tRNA^{Leu}_{CAG}, CCTCCGGAGAGATGACGA; tRNA^{Tyr}_{GUA}, TG-GTCCTTCGGCCGGAATCGAA).

Cloning, Overexpression, and Purification of *Tb*-TrpRS1 and *Tb*-TrpRS2. The gene sequences were PCR-amplified by using the Expand High Fidelity PCR System (Roche Applied Science). *Tb*-TrpRS1 was cloned into NcoI/XhoI in pET20b (Novagen) with a C-terminal His tag. For *Tb*-TrpRS2, the N-terminal 21 amino acids (mitochondrial leader sequence) were omitted, and the sequence was cloned into the NdeI/XhoI in pET15b (Novagen). After sequence verification, the resulting plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* BL-21-CodonPlus(DE3)-RIL cells (Stratagene).

Cells were grown at 37°C in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and chloramphenicol (34 µg/ml), and protein expression was autoinduced by using the Overnight Express Autoinduction System (Novagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After harvest, the cells were sonicated, and the proteins were purified by Ni-NTA chromatography (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The desired fractions were pooled, dialyzed against 50 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 8.0/5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol/50% glycerol, and stored at -20°.

In Vitro Aminoacylation Assays. (i) *Using native tRNA.* Mitochondria were prepared by hypotonic lysis and Percoll gradient centrifugation as described in ref. 32. The supernatant obtained after the initial lysis was used to isolate cytosolic RNAs by repeated phenol extractions and ethanol precipitations. Mitochondrial RNA was isolated from gradient purified mitoplasts by the acid guanidinium isothiocyanate procedure (31). A cumulative 25-liter *T. brucei* culture yielded 6 mg of mitochondrial RNA. Total

and mitochondrial RNA were deacylated in 0.3 M Tris·HCl (pH 9.0) at 30°C for 1 h. Finally, tRNAs were isolated from both fractions by using Qiagen-Tip columns as described in ref. 16. The percentage yield of tRNAs from deacylated total and mitochondrial RNAs was ≈5%. H₂O₂ treatment of mitochondrial and total tRNA was done at 0.2 mg/ml by using 0.21% (wt/vol) of H₂O₂ in 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 7.5) for 20 h at 20°C. The reaction was stopped by adding 2-mercaptoethanol to 50 mM, and tRNAs were purified by ethanol precipitation. The presence and absence of thiolated U33 was monitored by using 8 M urea/10% polyacrylamide gels containing a 25 mM concentration of *N*-acryloylaminophenylmercuric chloride (33).

In vitro aminoacylation assays were performed in 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.0/10 mM Mg-acetate/2 mM ATP/4 mM DTT/0.05% (wt/vol) BSA, and a mixture of 38 µM cold and 2 µM [³H]tryptophan (32 Ci/mmol; 1 Ci = 37 GBq). The enzyme and tRNA concentrations used were as follows: 400 nM recombinant *Tb*-TrpRS1 or *Tb*-TrpRS2 and 1 mg/ml isolated total or mitochondrial tRNA as substrates. Incubation was for 10 min at 37°C, and Trp-tRNA was determined as described in ref. 34.

(ii) *Using E. coli tRNA in which T. brucei tRNA^{Trp}_{UCA} and tRNA^{Trp}_{CCA} were overexpressed.* Reactions were carried out as described above with the following modifications: 75.5 µM cold and 4.5 µM [³H]tryptophan (32 Ci/mmol)/200 nM TrpRS/0.25 mg/ml tRNA.

Miscellaneous. Northern blots of tRNAs and ATP production assays were done as described in refs. 10 and 22. For the RT-PCR to amplify cDNA of cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNA^{Trp}, the oligonucleotides used were as follows: forward primer (AGAGCAGCGAGGAAGGCGAGATTCTCAGTGGT-AGAGCATTGG, containing a synthetic Hinfl site) and reverse primer (TGGTGAGGACTGCAGGGATTG).

We thank G. Cross (The Rockefeller University, New York) and D. Speijer (University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam) for cell lines, plasmids, and antisera and J. Rinehart for encouragement. This work was supported by Swiss National Foundation Grant 3100-067906 (to A.S.), a Fellowship of the Roche Research Foundation (to F.C.), and grants from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (to D.S.).

1. Bullerwell, C. E. & Gray, M. W. (2004) *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **7**, 528–534.
2. Small, I., Wintz, H., Akashi, K. & Mireau, H. (1998) *Plant Mol. Biol.* **38**, 265–277.
3. Knight, R. D., Freeland, S. J. & Landweber, L. F. (2001) *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2**, 49–58.
4. Woese, C. R., Olsen, G. J., Ibba, M. & Söll, D. (2000) *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **64**, 202–236.
5. Jorgensen, R., Sogaard, T. M. M., Rossing, A. B., Martensen, P. M. & Justesen, J. (2000) *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 16820–16826.
6. Xue, H., Shen, W., Giege, R. & Wong, J. T.-F. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 9316–9322.
7. Ulmasov, B., Topin, A., Chen, Z., He, S. H. & Folk, W. R. (1998) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **26**, 5139–5141.
8. Yesland, K. D., Nelson, A. W., Feathers, D. M. S. & Johnson, J. D. (1993) *J. Biol. Chem.* **268**, 217–220.
9. Schneider, A. & Marechal-Drouard, L. (2000) *Trends Cell Biol.* **10**, 509–513.
10. Tan, T. H. P., Pach, R., Crausaz, A., Ivens, A. & Schneider, A. (2002) *Mol. Cell Biol.* **22**, 3707–3717.
11. Hancock, K. & Hajduk, S. L. (1990) *J. Biol. Chem.* **265**, 19208–19215.
12. Simpson, A. M., Suyama, Y., Dewes, H., Campbell, D. A. & Simpson, L. (1989) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **17**, 5427–5445.
13. Alfonzo, J. D., Blanc, V., Estevez, A. M., Rubio, M. A. T. & Simpson, L. (1999) *EMBO J.* **18**, 7056–7062.
14. Crain, P. F., Alfonzo, J. D., Rozenski, J., Kapushoc, S. T., McCloskey, J. A. & Simpson, L. (2002) *RNA* **8**, 752–761.
15. Berriman, M., Ghedin, E., Hertz-Fowler, C., Blandin, G., Renaud, H., Bartholomeu, D. C., Lennard, N. J., Caler, E., Hamlin, N. E., Haas, B., et al. (2005) *Science* **309**, 416–422.
16. Rinehart, J., Horn, E. K., Wei, D., Söll, D. & Schneider, A. (2004) *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 1161–1166.
17. Ivens, A. C., Peacock, C. S., Worthey, E. A., Murphy, L., Aggarwal, G., Berriman, M., Sisk, E., Rajandream, M. A., Adlem, E., Aert, R., et al. (2005) *Science* **309**, 436–442.
18. Häusler, T., Stierhof, Y.-D., Blattner, J. & Clayton, C. (1997) *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* **73**, 240–251.
19. Bastin, P., Bagherzadeh, A., Matthews, K. R. & Gull, K. (1996) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **77**, 235–239.
20. Varshney, U., Lee, C.-P. & RajBhandary, U. L. (1991) *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**, 24712–24718.
21. Schneider, A., Bouzaidi-Tiali, N., Chanez, A.-L. & Bulliard, L. (2006) *Methods Mol. Biol.*, in press.
22. Bochud-Allemann, N. & Schneider, A. (2002) *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 32849–32854.
23. Schneider, A. (2001) *Trends Genet.* **17**, 557–558.
24. Xu, F., Chen, X., Xin, L., Chen, L., Jin, Y. & Wang, D. (2001) *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**, 4125–4133.
25. Guo, Q., Gong, Q., Tong, K. L., Vestergaard, B., Costa, A., Desgres, J., Wong, M., Grosjean, H., Zhu, G., Wong, J. T., et al. (2002) *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 14343–14349.
26. Tan, T. H. P., Bochud-Allemann, N., Horn, E. K. & Schneider, A. (2002) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 1152–1157.
27. Martin, N. C. (2002) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 1110–1112.
28. Charrière, F., Tan, T. H. P. & Schneider, A. (2005) *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 15659–15665.
29. Wirtz, E., Leal, S., Ochatt, C. & Cross, G. A. (1999) *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **99**, 89–101.
30. McCulloch, R., Vassella, E., Burton, P., Boshart, M. & Barry, J. D. (2004) *Methods Mol. Biol.* **262**, 53–86.
31. Chomezyński, P. & Sacchi, N. (1987) *Anal. Biochem.* **162**, 156–159.
32. Schneider, A., Charrière, F., Pusnik, M. & Horn, E. K. (2006) *Methods Mol. Biol.*, in press.
33. Igloi, G. L. (1992) *Anal. Biochem.* **206**, 363–368.
34. Nabholtz, C. E., Hauser, R. & Schneider, A. (1997) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 7903–7908.